

GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
ABSTRACT

PUBLIC SERVICES – Smt.M.T.Margaret, Retired Child Development Project Officer, O/o C.D.P.O., I.C.D.S Project, Peddapuram, East Godavari District – Excess payment of honorarium to the AWWs and failed in implementing the departmental schemes under BSY Scheme - Disciplinary proceedings initiated under Rule – 20 of A.P.C.S (CC&A) Rules, 1991 – Further action on the charges – dropped – Orders – Issued.

DEPT. FOR WOMEN, CHILDREN, DISABLED & SENIOR CITIZENS [ESTT. – A2]

G.O.RT.No. 318

Dated 27th December, 2014

Read the following:-

1. Charge Memo.No.678/Vig.1/2004, dt. 07.12.2004
2. Explanation dated 30-12-2004 submitted by the Charged Officer.
3. From Commissioner, W.D & C.W., A.P., Hyd, Lr.No.678/Vig.1/2004, dt.13-01-2011.
4. Government Memo.No.631/Estt.A2/2011-1, dated 12-05-2011
5. G.O.Rt.No.76, Dept. for WCD&SC(Estt.A2), dt:02.03.2013.
6. Government Memo.No.631/Estt.A2/2011-4, dated 22-01-2014.
7. From Commissioner, W.D & C.W., Lr.No.678/Vig.1/2004, dated 06-08-2014.

ORDER:-

The Commissioner, WD & C.W., A.P., Hyderabad, had initiated disciplinary proceedings in respect of Smt.M.T.Margaret, C.D.P.O Retd., I.C.D.S Project, Shankavaram formerly I/c CDPO, Samarlakota, under Rule – 20 of A.P.C.S (CC&A) Rules, 1991 and framed the following articles of charges vide reference 1st read above, on the ground of excess payment of honorarium to the AWWs and failed in implementing the departmental schemes under BSY Scheme and directed to submit her written statement of defense if any within the time limit as prescribed therein: -

Article No.1:

THAT, Smt.M.T.Margret, CDPO, Shankavaram, while working as I/c CDPO, Samarlakota has toured 3 days only in the month of 9/2002, whereas the Supervisors toured 21 days in 9/02. It was repeated for the months of 10/02, 11/02 and 12/02. The concerned Supervisors signatures are not available in log books and in the tour dairies of the CDPO for the months of 9/02, 10/02 and 3/03, the kilometers noted in tour dairy and noted in the log book for the months 9/02, 10/02 and 3/03 are differed and not tallied. Therefore, she is responsible for discrepancies. Hence, the charge.

Article No.2:

THAT, Smt.M.T.Margret, CDPO, Shankavaram, while working as I/c CDPO, Samarlakota, has disbursed the honorarium to AWW @ 500/- though the AWWs have failed 10th class. Actually, the honorarium should be paid @ Rs.438/- who have failed 10th class. The irregular payments were made as detailed below for the following Sl.Nos.:-

For August, 2002 : Sl.Nos.7, 61, 71, 176, 213, 220, 221.

For September, 2002: Sl.Nos.7, 32, 52, 61, 62, 63, 71, 179, 225, 226.

For October, 2002: Sl.No.s.5, 7, 61, 62, 71, 225 and 226.

Hence, she is responsible for excess payment of Rs.1,488/-

Article No.3:

THAT, Smt.M.T.Margret, CDPO, Shankavaram, while working as I/c CDPO, Samarlakota, has not enclosed tour dairy for T.A. bills for some months i.e. 9/02, 10/02 but claim was passed by the Project Director, Kakinada. She shown in the log book that she has visited AWCs on the dates of 13-09-2002, 24-09-2002, 27-09-2002, 09-10-2002 and 10-10-2002. But she claimed T.A bill for attending the office by bus on the same dates. Further, as per the tour dairy, on 10-03-2003 she has travelled 120 KMs but it has been noted as 270 KMs in the log book on the same date and claimed T.A.Bill.

Thus she mislead the authorities by giving false information regarding her travel particulars and claimed T.A amount as well as hiring charges which shows her negligence and results misappropriation of Government funds.

(P.T.O)

Article No.4:

THAT, Smt.M.T.Margret, CDPO, Shankavaram, while working as I/c CDPO, Samarlakota, has kept Rs.3,39,000/- of BSY Scheme, in the bank without disbursing to beneficiaries without any reasons. Thus she failed to take steps in implementing the departmental schemes.

2. The Charged Officer has submitted her explanation vide reference 2nd read above, stating that she was a regular CDPO to the ICDS Project, Shankavaram at that point of time besides holding full additional charge of the posts of ICDS, Samarlakota and Project Director DW & CDA., as well as Nodal Officer to Janmabhoomi to Yelwaswaram Mandal and therefore because of work load and due to the above reasons, if any, small lapses were occurred in the said period, which may kindly consider and excuse her in the above charges.

3. The Commissioner, W.D & C.W., A.P., Hyderabad, vide letter 3rd read above, had referred the matter to the Government for issue of final orders in respect of Smt.M.T.Margret, CDPO, Shankavaram and I/c CDPO, Samarlakota, E.G.District, who retired from service on 31-07-2010, on attaining the age of superannuation, as the Government is the competent authority.

4. The Commissioner (I/c), W.D & C.W., A.P., Hyderabad, vide letter 7th read above, has further informed that out of the four charges framed against the C.O. vide Charge Memo.No.678/Vig.1/2004, dt:07.12.2004, the Joint Director (Admn.) was appointed as Enquiry Officer vide Proceedings No.678/Vig.04, dated 13-07-2007, who had submitted the enquiry report on 09-07-2010, duly recommending that Charges – I and III are not held proved and the remaining Charges – II & IV are held proved. Therefore, the Director, WD & CW has issued Show Cause Notice to the individual to the extent of charges held proved i.e. Charge No.II (excess payment of Rs.1488 towards honorarium to AWWs) and Charge No.IV (failed to take steps in implementing the departmental schemes under BSY) based on the enquiry report. In the meanwhile, the individual had retired from service on 31-07-2010. Regarding Charge No.2, the Commissioner, W.D & C.W., has stated that on verification of the explanation of Charged Officer, it was found that she had remitted an amount of Rs.1,488/- through Challan No.4695, dated 06-07-2012 and Challan No.1222, dt.10-02-2012. Regarding Charge No.IV, the Commissioner, has informed that the delay has occurred in disbursing the amount of Rs.1,69,000/- out of Rs.3,39,000/- and that the C.O. had deposited the amount on 28-01-2003 but drawn and disbursed the amount with a delay of two months period as the Charged Officer was in-charge for another project and therefore requested that the charge can be dropped. The Commissioner, W.D & C.W., A.P., Hyderabad, has therefore, requested the Government to issue final orders in respect of Smt.M.T.Margaret, Retired CDPO, ICDS Project, Shankavaram, E.G.District with reference to Charge Nos. II and IV, which are held proved since the individual was retired from service on 31.07.2010, on attaining the age of superannuation.

5. In the circumstances stated above and keeping in view the remarks of the Commissioner, W.D & C.W., A.P., Hyderabad, Government have examined the matter and decided to conclude the disciplinary proceedings initiated against Smt.M.T.Margaret, Retired Child Development Project Officer, O/o C.D.P.O., I.C.D.S Project, Peddapuram, East Godavari District, by dropping of the Charges against her. Accordingly further action in respect of the Charges initiated against Smt.M.T.Margaret, Retired C.D.P.O., O/o C.D.P.O., I.C.D.S Project, Peddapuram, E.G District are hereby dropped.

6. The Commissioner (I/c), W.D & C.W., A.P., Hyderabad, is requested to take necessary further action in the matter, accordingly.

[BY ORDER AND IN THE NAME OF THE GOVERNOR OF ANDHRA PRADESH]

NILAM SAWHNEY,
PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT.

To

The Commissioner (I/c), W.D & C.W, A.P., Hyderabad.

The Accountant – General, A.P., Hyderabad.

Smt.M.T.Margaret, Retired C.D.P.O, O/o CDPO, ICDS Project, Peddapuram, E.G District,
through the Commissioner(I/c), W.D & C.W., A.P., Hyderabad.

The Director of Treasuries and Accounts, A.P., Hyderabad.

Copy to: -

The R.J.D., W.D & C.W., Eluru, W.G.District.

The Project Director, D.W & C.D.A., Kakinada, E.G.District.

SF / SCs.

// FORWARDED :: BY ORDER //

SECTION OFFICER